Commentary

Through the Narrative Looking Glass: Commentary on "Impact of Electronic Health Records on Information Practices in Mental Health Contexts: Scoping Review"

Charlene Weir, PhD

Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, United States

Corresponding Author:

Charlene Weir, PhD Department of Biomedical Informatics University of Utah 421 Wakara Way, Ste 140 Salt Lake City, UT, 84108-3514 United States Phone: 1 801 541 9462 Email: charlene.weir@utah.edu

Related Article:

Comment on: http://www.jmir.org/2022/5/e30405/

Abstract

The authors of "Impact of Electronic Health Records on Information Practices in Mental Health Contexts: Scoping Review" have effectively brought to our attention the failure of the electronic health record (EHR) to represent the human context. Because mental health or behavioral disorders (and functional status in general) emerge from an interaction between the individual's characteristics and the social context, it is essentially a failure to represent the human context. The assessment and treatment of these disorders must reflect how the person lives, their degree of social connectedness, their personal motivation, and their cultural background. This type of information is best communicated both through narrative and in collaboration with other providers and the patient—largely because human social memory is organized around situation models and natural episodes. Neither functionality is currently available in most EHRs. Narrative communication is effective for several reasons: (1) it supports the communication of goals between providers; (2) it allows the author to express their belief in others' perspectives (theory of mind), for example, those who will be reading these notes; and (3) it supports the incorporation of the patient's personal perspective. The failure of the EHR to support mental health information data and information practices is, therefore, essentially a failure to support the basic communication functions necessary for the narrative. The authors have rightly noted the problems of the EHR in this domain, but perhaps they did not completely link the problems to the lack of functionality to support narrative communication. Suggestions for adding design elements are discussed.

(J Med Internet Res 2022;24(5):e38513) doi: 10.2196/38513

KEYWORDS

electronic health records; psychiatry; mental health; electronic medical records; health informatics; mental illness; scoping review; clinical decision support

Introduction

Through their scoping review of mental health data and the electronic health record (EHR), the authors of "Impact of Electronic Health Records on Information Practices in Mental Health Contexts: Scoping Review" have brought to center stage the failure of the EHR to represent the human context [1]. Mental health or behavioral disorders (and functional status in general) emerge from an *interaction* between the individual's characteristics and the social context. As a result, the assessment

```
https://www.jmir.org/2022/5/e38513
```

lives, their degree of social connectedness, their personal motivation, and their cultural background—in other words: the human context. This failure of the EHR to support both information data (eg, missing or "fuzzy" data) and information practices (processes) for mental health information is a feature, not a bug. Specifically, EHRs have systematically avoided supporting text data—partially because electronic text is seen as hard to use [2] and due to the belief that structured data is more accurate. However, it is not just that providers *prefer* to tell the patient's story in narrative rather than structured data

and treatment of these disorders must reflect how the person



JOURNAL OF MEDICAL INTERNET RESEARCH

forms [3] or that mental health data is "soft" data, it is that it is much too difficult to get a sense or gist of the patient's situation through structured data and is much less cognitively efficient. In other words, accuracy is in the eye of the beholder. Some studies have noted the narrative is more accurate for mental health data, even if different text is used as descriptors [4]. One reason for the power of the narrative is that memory is organized around situation models and episodic mental representations, which are best communicated in story form [5]. Humans can grasp a situation much more rapidly through a story than through a list of facts [5]. Putting together "pieces" of data to get a gist of the patient's situation is significant work, whereas distilled information has better comprehension and is associated with better decision-making [6]. Narrative communication is effective for several reasons: (1) it supports the communication of goals between providers; (2) it allows for the author to express their belief in others' perspectives (theory of mind), for example, those who will be reading these notes; and (3) it supports the incorporation of the patient's personal perspective.

Goals

Documenting and tracking clinical goals is at the heart of care processes and communication in general [7]. The clinical goals for mental health patients almost always involve some aspect of context (which, in turn, requires specific descriptions of that context). The question "is the therapeutic treatment working?" requires data about the patient's work situation, personal relationships, or the patient's motivation [8].

Communication

Communicating mental health information to other providers is complex because people of many different roles care for these

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Kariotis T, Prictor M, Chang S, Gray K. Impact of Electronic Health Records on Information Practices in Mental Health Context: Scoping Review. J Med Internet Res 2022;24(5):e30405. [doi: 10.2196/30405]
- Embi PJ, Weir C, Efthimiadis EN, Thielke SM, Hedeen AN, Hammond KW. Computerized provider documentation: findings and implications of a multisite study of clinicians and administrators. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2013;20(4):718-726 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/amiajnl-2012-000946] [Medline: 23355462]
- Rizvi RF, Harder KA, Hultman GM, Adam TJ, Kim M, Pakhomov SVS, et al. A comparative observational study of inpatient clinical note-entry and reading/retrieval styles adopted by physicians. Int J Med Inform 2016 Jun;90:1-11 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2016.02.011] [Medline: 27103191]
- 4. Spuhl J, Doing-Harris K, Nelson S, Estrada N, Del Fiol G, Weir C. Concordance of Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data Describing Delirium at a VA Hospital. AMIA Annu Symp Proc 2014;2014:1066-1071 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 25954416]
- Wyer Jr RS. Social Comprehension and Judgment: The Role of Situation Models, Narratives, and Implicit Theories. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; Jan 11, 2013. [doi: <u>10.4324/9781410609007</u>]
- Blalock SJ, Reyna VF. Using fuzzy-trace theory to understand and improve health judgments, decisions, and behaviors: A literature review. Health Psychol 2016 Aug;35(8):781-792 [FREE Full text] [doi: <u>10.1037/hea0000384</u>] [Medline: <u>27505197</u>]
- 7. Clarke HH. Using Language. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1996.
- Cimino J. Putting the "why" in "EHR": capturing and coding clinical cognition. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2019 Nov 01;26(11):1379-1384 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocz125] [Medline: 31407781]
- 9. Senay I, Keysar B. Keeping Track of Speaker's Perspective: The Role of Social Identity. Discourse Processes 2009 Sep 14;46(5):401-425. [doi: 10.1080/01638530902959596]

XSL•FC RenderX

Patient Preferences

The patient's preferences are often idiosyncratic, embedded in the social context, and specific to location and time. The EHR is a limited representation of patient preference data. A story about the patient's wishes is generally the most effective way of communicating preferences and planning care [10].

Conclusion

The failure of the EHR to support mental health information data and information practices is, therefore, really a failure to support the basic communication functions necessary for the narrative. The authors have rightly noted the problems of the EHR in this domain, but perhaps they did not completely link the problems to the lack of functionality to support narrative communication. Links to the clinical goals of other clinicians, a specific location for the patient's story, temporal links to clinical episodes, and the ability to annotate the clinical notes of others in order to understand one's impressions would help communicate the patient's story. Improving the use of natural language processing and building ontologies of context would also help. Additionally, addressing these functions would also address several of the issues raised in the review, specifically, missing data, sensitive data, and collaborative decision-making information. Future work in the arena of EHRs could create tools and spaces for narrative, patient preferences, collaborative discourse, and shared collaborative documentation [11].

JOURNAL OF MEDICAL INTERNET RESEARCH

- Bekker HL, Winterbottom AE, Butow P, Dillard AJ, Feldman-Stewart D, Fowler FJ, et al. Do personal stories make patient decision aids more effective? A critical review of theory and evidence. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2013 Nov 29;13(S2). [doi: 10.1186/1472-6947-13-s2-s9]
- 11. Stanhope V, Ingoglia C, Schmelter B, Marcus SC. Impact of person-centered planning and collaborative documentation on treatment adherence. Psychiatr Serv 2013 Jan;64(1):76-79. [doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201100489] [Medline: 23280459]

Abbreviations

EHR: electronic health record

Edited by T Leung; this is a non-peer-reviewed article. Submitted 05.04.22; accepted 05.04.22; published 04.05.22.

<u>Please cite as:</u>
Weir C
Through the Narrative Looking Glass: Commentary on "Impact of Electronic Health Records on Information Practices in Mental
Health Contexts: Scoping Review"
J Med Internet Res 2022;24(5):e38513
URL: https://www.jmir.org/2022/5/e38513
doi: 10.2196/38513
PMID: 35507399

©Charlene Weir. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (https://www.jmir.org), 04.05.2022. This is open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License an (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://www.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

